The Graduate School of Applied and Professional Psychology Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM

ORAL SPECIALTY COMPREHENSIVE EXAMIATION

The student is to complete both sections I. A and I. B below and return this form to the Department Secretary for the Department Chair's approval (section II). This <u>must be completed</u> by the student at least <u>one month prior</u> to the actual date of the examination.

Student's Name:							
I. A. Proposed Committee Members:							
Proposed Oral Specialty Topic:							
I. B Proposed Approved Dissertation Title:							
Proposed Approved Dissertation Committee Members:	-						
II. Oral Specialty Committee and Specialty Comprehensive Topic							
Approved by: Department Chair Date							
If topic is changed by Committee and student, Department Chair's approval noted her	re:						
Date							

CRITERIA FOR CONDUCTING AND EVALUATING THE SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY ORAL SPECIALTY COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

Procedures

The oral specialty examination, one part of the comprehensive examination, takes place between the student and two faculty who serve as specialty committee members. The student must complete the "Proposed Committee & Topic Approval Form" indicating a specialized topic. The topic should be broader than the dissertation topic but not so broad as to encompass most of the discipline. After receiving the student's topic and suggestions as to possible committee members, the Department Chair appoints two faculty members to the Specialty Committee. No more than one faculty member may serve on both the Oral Specialty and the Dissertation Committee (11/95).

One faculty must be other than those on the student's Dissertation Committee, but can include field supervisors approved by the Department Chair. For this examination the student submits a written proposal to each committee member which outlines the content and scope of the topic and which includes a reference list. The proposal is then reviewed by the committee members and returned to the student as approved or with recommendations for changes. When the committee members approve the topic, the Department Chair's final approval is required.

Topic

It is the student's responsibility to present a topic for the examination that meets the following minimal criteria:

- 1. The topic must be different in scholarship (content and scope) from the topic of the dissertation.
- 2. The topic must be relevant to the specialty of school psychology.
- 3. The topic must have an extant literature that includes theory, concepts and principles, and empirical study.
- 4. The topic is acceptable to both faculty examiners.

Examination Guidelines

The student is expected to present orally for approximately 45 minutes, and is strongly encouraged to integrate visual media in the presentation and whenever appropriate audio media. Media preparation is the student's responsibility. The student is expected to have a mastery of the topic and may use notes, but may not read verbatim a prepared lecture.

Case material may be used as part of the presentation, but only as an example. The oral presentation is not primarily a case presentation.

Grading

Following the presentation and questions the faculty will evaluate the student's presentation using the following criteria based upon a 1-5 scale with one the highest, four borderline and five failure.

- a. The degree to which the student had a scholarly mastery of the content.
- b. The student's preparation for the examination.
- c. The organization of the presentation.
- d. The delivery of the presentation.
- e. The student's answers to the posed questions.
- f. An overall summary of the students' presentation.

The student may receive the following evaluative feedback:

- 1. Outstanding reflects ratings of 1 in all 5 areas.
- Excellent reflects ratings of 1 or 2 in every area.
 Good reflects ratings of 2 or 3 in every area.
- 4. Borderline reflects grades of 1, 2 or 3 areas a, b, and c, but grades of 4 in either or
 - both d and e.
- 5. Failure a grade of 4 or 5 in criteria a-c.

The student shall be informed about her/his performance at the end of the examination. The signed rating sheets are to be completed by each committee member, and then returned it to the Department Administrator. A formal letter will be sent to the student indicating successful completion or necessary remediation shortly after the examination.

RATING SHEET FOR ORAL SPECIALTY EXAMINATION IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

Nam	e of Student		Date of Examination					
Topic	of Examination							
a.	Scholarly mastery of the topic.							
	1 Outstanding	2 Excellent	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
Com	ments:							
b.	Accuracy and quality of students' answers to posed questions.							
	1 Outstanding	2	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
Com	_	<u> </u>			randro			
C.	1	2	3	es and comprehensive 4	5			
	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Borderline	Failure			
Com	ments:							
d.	Organization of the presentation.							
	1 Outstanding	2 Excellent	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
Com	ments:							
e.		Use of media, presentation manner, eye contact, etc.						
O.	1	2	3	4	5			
	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Borderline	Failure			
Com	ments:							
f.	Summary rating.	_	_		_			
	1 Outstanding	2 Excellent	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
Com	ments:							
If the	student receives a ra		a a, b, or c the overa	ll rating should not be h	igher than 3.			
The	examination on this to	pic was held on	(date)	·				
	was completed sa	tisfactorily.	(dato)					
	will be satisfactory	upon completion of th	ne following additional	work:				
	needs an addition	al meeting.						
Com	mittee Member		Date)				

Return this form to the Department Administrator cc: Department File

RATING SHEET FOR ORAL SPECIALTY EXAMINATION IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

Name of Student			Date of Examination					
Topi	c of Examination							
a.	Scholarly mastery of the topic.							
	1	2	3	4 Dandarlia	5			
	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Borderline	Failure			
Com	ments:							
b.	Accuracy and quality of students' answers to posed questions.							
	1 Outstanding	2 Excellent	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
Com	ments:							
C.	Preparation for examination as evidenced by outline, references and comprehensiveness.							
	1 Outstanding	2 Excellent	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
Com	iments:							
d.	Organization of the	e presentation. 2	3	4	5			
	Outstanding	-	Good	Borderline	Failure			
Com	ments:							
e.	Use of media, presentation manner, eye contact, etc.							
	1	2	3	4 Dandadia	5			
	Outstanding	Excellent	Good	Borderline	Failure			
Com	ments:							
f.	Summary rating.							
	1 Outstanding	2 Excellent	3 Good	4 Borderline	5 Failure			
•	-				ranare			
Com	ments:							
	e student receives a ra eptions to this should b			ll rating should not be h	igher than 3.			
The	examination on this to	pic was held on						
	was completed sa	tisfactorily.	(date)					
	will be satisfactory	upon completion of th	ne following additional	work:				
	needs an additiona	al meeting.						
Com	mittee Member		Date	9				

Return this form to the Department Administrator cc: Department File